



The ICC Wants to Start Arresting Politicians! I Think That's A GREAT Idea!

THE CORBETT REPORT
APR 23



by James Corbett
corbettreport.com
April 23, 2023

As long-time followers of The Corbett Report will know by now, the International Criminal Court is that ridiculous, UN-spawned kangaroo court in The Hague that dispenses [victor's justice](#) at the behest of its Western backers, spending its time [exclusively prosecuting Africans](#) and asking the hard questions about [Gaddafi and Viagra](#) while studiously ignoring [US](#) and [UK](#) and [Israeli](#) war crimes.

Well, guess what? Embracing the "diversity, equity and inclusion" mantra that's all the rage in Western institutions these days, the ICC has finally gone out and issued an arrest warrant for a major European leader!

. . . If you count Russia as a European nation, that is.

That's right. In case you haven't heard by now, the illustrious international court of criminals has [issued an arrest warrant](#) for Russian President Vladimir Putin, accusing him of being "allegedly responsible for the war crime of unlawful deportation of population (children)" and of facilitating the "unlawful transfer of population (children) from occupied areas of Ukraine to the Russian Federation."

Now, while your average news consumer is busy trying to figure out why the ICC can't stop bracketing the word "children," I, for one, am too busy applauding to ponder such peculiarities of punctuation.

Yes! Arrest the politicians! What a brilliant idea!

But now that we're taking the ICC's idea and running with it, we're faced with a new dilemma: who should we arrest?

So today, let's put on our thinking caps, don our Saturnalian robes of justice and slip into our [international law](#) pants and come up with a list of politicians who should be rounded up and locked away forever for their crimes against humanity. Are you ready?

VLADIMIR PUTIN



Yes, ICC prosecutors, I agree! By all means, let's arrest Putin!

. . . But not for the trumped-up, phoney-baloney charges that the ICC has listed in its transparently political and obviously fraudulent "won't someone think of the (children)!?" arrest warrant.

In reality, of course, the ICC's warrant for Putin was [based on a US State Department-funded report that debunked itself](#) and it was issued by a [prosecutor who claimed political neutrality while speaking at Ukraine government-organized events](#) and yukking it up with NATO member officials [at a donor conference in London](#).

In fact, it's so obvious the ICC is in the business of selling "justice" to the

highest bidder that [even The Guardian admitted](#) the timing of the Putin warrant was calculated to maximize donations to the court. (For the record, the incredibly cynical move worked: the ICC ended up [raising nearly \\$5 million](#) from NATO countries to continue its work "holding Russia to account" for its war crimes in Ukraine.)

So, no, let's not arrest Putin for those ridiculous charges. Instead, let's bring some charges of our own.

For starters, we could bring him in to answer some questions about the [Russian apartment bombings in September 1999](#). Then-Prime Minister Putin blamed the wave of bombings—which killed more than 300 people over 10 days in five separate events—on "Chechen terrorists," using them as a pretext to launch the air bombing of Grozny that began the Second Chechen War.

Unsurprisingly to students of the [history of false flag terror](#), however, it wasn't long before agents of the Russian security service, the FSB, were [caught red-handed planting bombs](#) in an apartment complex in Ryazan. Naturally, the Kremlin claimed that the FSB agents' foiled bombing attempt was part of a "security exercise" and the event was [promptly covered up](#). Putin, meanwhile, proceeded to milk the trauma this false flag inflicted on the Russian population for all it was worth. His poll numbers skyrocketed from 2% before the bombings to 55% afterwards and by the end of the year he was installed as the new President of Russia.

Or why not arrest Putin for his whole-hearted, full-throated participation in the erection of the Russian biosecurity grid?

He has, after all, actively promoted the [Sputnik V clot shot vaccination](#) agenda, claiming [time](#) and [time](#) again that "we need to do everything we can to overcome this pandemic, and the best tool we have in this fight is vaccination." He has repeatedly bemoaned the "[insufficient](#)" uptake of the clot shots by Russians, and his press secretary has [declared](#) that "any measures that can push more people to vaccinate are good" and "only vaccination saves from death." Putin even went out of his way to [remind Russia's regional governors](#) that they have the authority to coerce citizens into getting jabbed.

He has made biosecurity a key talking point of the Russia/China alliance that—we are constantly assured by certain sectors of the "alternative" media—forms the backbone of the new power bloc intends to "oppose" the NATO bloc tyranny. Putin has [vowed](#) that "particular emphasis will be placed

on the fight against the novel coronavirus infection pandemic," because this "ongoing pandemic" poses "a serious challenge to the fulfilment of the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development." He has even [signed agreements with Chinese President Xi Jinping](#) to ensure "the deepening of information exchange on the subject of the COVID-19 pandemic and the strengthening of coordination when interacting at such platforms as WHO."

And he has not only [endorsed the erection of a digital ID system](#) in Russia, but he actually urged the government to fast track the development of such a system ("the faster, the better"), warning that "such services are highly in demand, and you just need to accelerate their implementation."

Need I go on?

Oh, OK.

Putin signed into law a biometrics bill that was [illegally rushed through the State Duma](#). Under the guise of "[banning](#)" [forced collection of biometrics](#), the bill in fact greatly expands the ([World Bank-endorsed](#)) "[Unified Biometric System](#)" introduced by Rostelcom in 2018 for collecting fingerprint, facial image, voice, iris, and palm vein pattern information on Russian citizens and places control of that biometric data in the hands of a [private entity](#). As even pro-Putin Russian alt media [warns](#), the bill is "deeply unconstitutional and creates the basis for building a 'digital concentration camp' in Russia."

Plus, Putin has collaborated with his old pal (and "former" [WEF Board of Trustees member](#)) Herman "Sberbank" Gref in the rollout of the [country's biometric control grid](#). In 2021, Putin [delivered the keynote address](#) at Sber's artificial intelligence conference—"one of the main global venues for discussing artificial intelligence," Putin assures us—where he praised Sber's efforts to use AI technologies to transform "healthcare and education, environmental protection and agriculture, industry and transport" and encouraged his globalist amigo to "accelerate the digital transformation across the board and as soon as possible move from isolated experiments and pilot initiatives to end-to-end projects with AI applications." And, in case we didn't get the point, Putin [delivered the keynote address again](#) at the 2022 conference, informing us that his "next goal on the horizon of the current decade is to ensure broader introduction of artificial intelligence."

So, in short: yes, arrest Putin!

But why stop there? Since we're already pissing off the 5D-chess-playing,

MAGA-supporting, hopium addicts in the crowd, why don't we just go the whole hog and arrest . . .

DONALD TRUMP



Now, before the red caps in the crowd start hyperventilating ("I always KNEW you were a shill, James!"): relax! Of course I don't think The Orange Man should be put into an orange jumpsuit over some Stormy Daniels-related "campaign finance violation" horsetwaddle.

And if you think I'm talking about Russiagate then you haven't seen my typically entertaining and hilarious (if I do say so myself) [debunking of Russiagate](#).

No, that's all left/right, Coke/Pepsi partisan political distraction nonsense. Instead, let's arrest Trump for something of consequence.

Like what? Well, how about his work against American interests in the service of a foreign power?

No, I'm not talking about Russiagate, silly. As I observed back in 2017: "[Forget Russiagate, The REAL Scandal Is Israelgate](#)."

Oh, you don't remember Israelgate? That's not surprising, since Russiagate was hyped endlessly by the same truthtelling truthsayers at the bastions of

truthiness like CNN and MSNBC, and Israelgate was never mentioned once by those controlled corporate (fake) news networks.

For those not in the know, the [ouster of Michael Flynn](#) from his role as Trump's national security advisor came when he "pleaded guilty to one count of lying to the FBI about his back-channel negotiations with the Russian ambassador." But what was he "negotiating" with the Russians about?

Hmmm. Let me check my notes. . . .

Oh, that's right! He was "negotiating" with the Russians about their vote on [United Nations Security Council Resolution 2334](#). You know, the resolution that sought to [condemn Israel's ethnic cleansing of the Palestinians](#)? And what was he on the horn with his Russian counterpart about, exactly? Oh yeah, he was trying to twist the Russians' arm to vote "no" on the resolution.

And who put him up to that task? Why, none other than the Son-in-Law-in-Chief, [Jared Kushner](#), the wheeling and dealing Wunderkind whose family is so connected to Israel's Zionist Likudniks that he once let Benjamin Netanyahu sleep in his bed (yes, [literally](#)) and who failed to disclose that he led a foundation that actually funded an illegal Israeli settlement (yes, [really](#)).

Oh, wait. Silly me! It seems Israelgate is all about Trump's son-in-law and has nothing at all to do with Trump himself. I mean, it's not like Donald J. Trump would ever sell out America in the interests of Israel, would he?

OK, I guess he did [move the US embassy to Jerusalem](#), unilaterally altering longstanding US foreign policy in the region to implicitly endorse Israel's ethnic cleansing of the Palestinians. And Donald "Make Israel Great Again" Trump did brag at every opportunity how he was a "[true friend of Israel](#)" who may be a "newcomer to politics but not to backing the Jewish state" and did [gloat about](#) how Israelis believe him to be "the King of Israel" and love him like "the second coming of God." And he did make [campaign endorsement videos](#) for his best pal Benjamin Netanyahu (another [unconvicted criminal](#) who, come to think of it, should definitely be arrested!).

But he'd never sell out American interests in favour of Israel. Heaven forbid! I guess Kushner must've put Flynn up to that phone call all by himself.

So, what else can we arrest Trump for?

Well, how about his international war crimes in Syria? You know, the country that—like Obama (who should be arrested) and Bush (who should be arrested) before him—Trump continued to bombard for years in a completely illegal attempt at regime change? Or has the international community already forgotten about [the Syria Strikes](#)?

Remember the Syria Strikes? Convinced by [the pictures provided to him](#) by the [Academy Award-winning White Helmets](#) that Assad had indeed gassed the "beautiful babies" of Douma and apparently unconvinced by [every shred of available evidence](#) that this was, in fact, yet another false flag perpetrated by the anti-Assad terrorists, Trump lobbed 59 Tomahawk land attack missiles at the country in 2017 (adding \$5 billion to the bottom line of his buddies at [Raytheon](#) in the process).

Or remember when Trump bragged about the "[highly successful](#)" (and [highly illegal](#)) raids he ordered as part of the [years-long US-sponsored war crime](#) in Yemen that he likewise inherited from Obama and gleefully expanded during his time in office?

These are undoubtedly offences of the highest order. But if we really want to arrest Trump for his crimes against humanity, why don't we convict him for the very thing he's proudest of: his role in the scamdemic?

Trump's loudest defenders always conveniently forget that their orange hero came to office on the back of his willingness to discuss the [vaccine/autism link](#) and his promise to appoint RFK Jr. to chair a commission on vaccine safety but that under his watch vaccines became the greatest thing since sliced bread and an RFK Jr. vaccine safety commission was off the table because [Bill Gates told him it was a bad idea](#).

They forget that it was Trump who [ordered Operation Warp Speed](#) and who called the MAGA jabs his "[greatest achievement](#)."

They forget it was Trump who followed Fauci's every dictate throughout the course of the scamdemic and allowed the shutdown of the country at the behest of the "health" tyrants.

They forget it was trump who pulled off the [ultimate vaccine bait and switch](#) by removing funding from the WHO . . . in order to give it to Gates' GAVI, the vaccine alliance.

Yes, if any world leader needs to answer for the crimes against humanity

committed during the scamdemic, it's Trump. Can you imagine if Trump actually were the hero that the QAnoners believed him to be? Can you imagine him calling out the scamdemic for the transparent sham that it was, instead of actively helping facilitate the deep state's crimes? Neither can I.

But before the intellectually challenged dupes of the left/right political farce go and accuse me of being some damn commie-loving pinko for putting Putin and Trump on the arrest list, let me go ahead and call for the arrest of . . .

JOE BIDEN



When it comes to Joseph Robinette Biden, Jr., 45th President of the United States of America, there is once again no shortage of charges to place on the arrest warrant.

It would be tempting to arrest him for his [incessant lying](#)—from the Big, Consequential Lies (like the [safe and effective injection](#) lie and the [pandemic of the unvaccinated](#) lie and the [economy is doing great](#) lie) to the Small, Bizarre Lies. Remember when he randomly [invented the story of playing college football](#) for no particular reason? Or when he [made up a story](#) about being recruited by Golda Meir to help in the Six Day War? (Don't worry, though; it turns out [Corn Pop was totally real](#).)

But, on sober reflection, lying is (generally) not a crime, and we don't want to set a precedent that would allow for Biden's ilk to start arresting people for their speech, do we?

Perhaps, then, it would be more productive to arrest Biden for his crimes in Ukraine.

Now, I'm not just talking about his [war crimes in Ukraine](#), or even the [Ukrainian war crimes in the Donbas](#) that the Biden administration is now actively supporting. I'm also talking about Biden's pre-war crimes in Ukraine, from his son's [wheelings and dealings](#) on [the board of Burisma Holdings](#) on behalf of "the big guy" to Biden's own [incredible on-camera admission](#) that he threatened to withhold a billion-dollar loan guarantee to the Ukrainian government unless they fired the attorney general . . . who, as it turns out, was [trying to prosecute Burisma](#) for its corrupt practices.

But that is a [long and complicated story](#) that would no doubt tie up the courts for years, generating lots of boring testimony that the TikTok-addicted, fluoride-addled public would surely tire of before Biden's inevitable conviction.

So why not arrest him for something far more immediately understandable (and immediately revolting), like his long and documented pattern of sexual assault and molestation?

Sadly, in this case I'm not simply referring to Tara Read, a staff member in Biden's Senate office in the 1990s who accused him of [inappropriate touching](#) and of a [specific act of sexual assault](#) (and who was then subjected to intense scrutiny by the same types of [people who tell us to "believe all women"](#) . . . as long as those women are democrats).

And I'm not just talking about the [photographs and videos](#) of Joe Biden caressing grown women and making them [visibly uncomfortable](#) at various official functions throughout his career.

No, I'm talking about the multiple underage girls who have been inappropriately [groped](#), [fondled](#), [caressed](#) and even [kissed](#) in full view of the camera.

It's important to understand that Biden's sexual molestation of women and girls is not some fringe conspiracy theory, either. [Entire websites](#) have been set up to document these abuses. Heck, even his own daughter questioned whether she had been molested by the current resident of the Oval Office in a [journal entry](#) reflecting on the inappropriate showers Biden took with her at a young age.

Naturally, the establishment lackey media has (to the surprise of no one)

run to Biden's defense at every opportunity, with the consensus among the trendy, progressive, #MeToo-supporting Democrats being that "Uncle Joe" is just a bit hands-y and doesn't realize he's being inappropriate.


And besides, [Biden teamed up with Lady Gaga](#) to create trauma centres for victims of physical and emotional abuse, so he must be a good guy, right? After all, a credibly accused rapist in need of a PR pick-me-up would never engage in a cynical ploy to pollute the "Biden sexual assault" search results with feelgood stories about Uncle Joe's trauma recovery centers, would they?

Yet, for the partisan political hacks, sexual assault is AOK when the man doing it is on their political team. Just ask [Bill Clinton's victims](#). I don't run in those rarefied, elitist circles, so perhaps I just don't understand such double standards. All I know is that—as the father of a young girl—if any man were touching my daughter like that he would have his face rearranged in short order.

So, yes: let's get the ICC to issue one of those arrest warrant thingies for Biden, too, please.

But how can we talk about criminal presidents in need of arrest without talking about . . .

GEORGE W. BUSH



OK, OK, this one is a gimme, but really, are you gonna make a list of

politicians to arrest WITHOUT including W? Of course you aren't, and neither am I.

To be fair, I'm not exactly the first person to ever think of arresting Bush 43 for his crimes against humanity. There were those who [lobbied for Bush's arrest](#) when he came to speak in Calgary in 2009, including [Splitting The Sky \(R.I.P.\)](#). As you'll recall, Splitting The Sky even [attempted a citizen's arrest](#) of the fiendish Bush fils . . . but alas, the criminal slipped away.

Then there was the time that Bush was forced to [cancel a trip](#) to Switzerland to speak at a fundraiser for the United Israel Appeal after it was revealed that a number of human rights groups were planning to prosecute Bush for his war crimes, including his contravention of the Convention Against Torture to which the United States is a signatory.

The Canadians were back on the case in 2011 when the Canadian Centre for International Justice—citing the evidence in a [2006 UN report](#), a [2007 Council of Europe report](#), and a [2008 US Senate Armed Services Committee report](#), as well as the testimony of UN Special Rapporteurs [Nowak](#) and [Scheinin](#) and a [2009 admission](#) by Cheney and Bush's own [2010 memoirs](#)—attempted to prosecute the 9/11-Criminal-in-Chief . . . but apparently "The Mounties always get their man" only applies when said man is not the psychopathic progeny of a [famed international crime family](#). The prosecution was blocked by the B.C. government, and the [election thief](#) evaded justice once again.


Bush finally received his judgment in 2012, when the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Commission [found George W. Bush guilty of war crimes](#) in the illegal invasion of Iraq. Strangely enough, though, that hasn't resulted in any country actually arresting him . . . yet.

But hey, Bush didn't let Iraq's complete lack of WMDs stop him from spilling the blood of millions of innocent Iraqis, so are we really going to stop trying to nab one of the prime war criminals of the 21st century just because it didn't work the first few times? Of course not!

I suppose I should make it clear that this isn't a partisan thing. Let's arrest every living US president for their part in committing crimes against humanity and for their expansion of the American empire on the back of countless dead women and children!

And, while we're at it, let's nab their friends, too. Friends like . . .

TONY BLAIR



If Bush deserves jail (and he certainly does), then surely Tony Blair deserves to be right there beside him as the war crimes tribunal begins.

After all, when Bush and Cheney and Rice and the gaggle of neocons in Washington were selling totally made-up "mushroom cloud" bullplop to the trusting American rubes, there was Blair, selling his [sexed-up dossier](#) and peddling his [45-minute fantasy](#) and [murdering David Kelly](#).

And when Bush was planning to stage a false flag event in order to justify

war in Iraq, who was he conspiring with? That's right: Tony "Aztec Rebirther" Blair.

And when it came time to sell the public on the Osama-did-9/11 myth, who did Bush recruit? You guessed it.

Then there was Blair's own 7/7 false flag that was used to perpetuate the terror myth and his call to "crack down on future problem children before they are even born" and his repeated calls for digital IDs and vaccine passports.

Yes, there are no shortage of reasons why we might want to add Tony Blair to the list of dangerous political criminals who need to be thrown behind bars.

But hold on a second. Looking at this list, I notice something is missing: namely, estrogen!

JACINDA ARDERN



Pardon me! I forgot this is <CURRENT YEAR> and that any list that does not include a woman (however that's defined!) just wouldn't pass muster with the equity and inclusion crowd, so let's make sure we get a female politician in shackles, too, shall we?

Now, there are no shortage of lady criminals to choose from. We should

definitely have an orange jumpsuit ready for our apprehension of Angela "Minsk Fraudster" Merkel, of course. And we shouldn't forget about Sanna "I Definitely Wasn't On Drugs!" Marin just because she's been replaced as Finland's chief pathocrat.

But if we're going to go after recently departed female prime ministers, let's start with Jacinda Ardern.

As with all of the others on our roster, there is no shortage of reasons to issue a warrant for the former Prime Minister of New Zealand, including her attempts to crack down on the free speech rights of citizens [at home](#) and abroad with a [global censorship system](#).

And who could forget the New Zealand government's participation in the crimes against humanity perpetrated during the scamdemic, like [rampant discrimination against the unvaccinated](#) and the institution of medical martial law at [military-run quarantine facilities](#)? After all, even New Zealand's own courts [ruled that Ardern's government](#) had acted "unlawfully, unreasonably and in breach of the Bill of Rights" in its enactment of an absolutely bonkers [quarantine lottery system](#) and that its vaccine mandate for Police and Defence Force staff was similarly a [breach of fundamental rights](#) and thus illegal.

But of all of the many crimes that Ardern presided over during her time as Prime Minister, one of the most egregious has to be [the arrest](#) of activist and Paster Billy Te Kahika and alternative media host Vinny Eastwood for the "crime" of protesting New Zealand's draconian lockdown legislation. Not only were they arrested and held in custody for "offences against the Covid 19 Response Act," but, as my readers may have seen by now, Billy and Vinny just received prison sentences of 4 months and 3 months, respectively, for their participation in peaceful protests.

In fact, as the [change.org petition calling for their freedom](#) notes, Billy "has been persecuted by the New Zealand Government for almost twenty months and vilified endlessly by Government funded mainstream media in New Zealand," and his prison sentence "is the harshest sentence of its kind given in the western world to date."

Keep in mind that this prison-worthy protest took place just months before New Zealand relaxed its lockdown restrictions and Ardern confirmed that [orgies of up to 25 people](#) were once again legal! (Unless the orgy participants were discussing their opposition to lockdowns, presumably.)

But at least it all turned out well for Jacinda. After shedding crocodile tears

during a speech announcing her retirement as Prime Minister in which she claimed she had no plans for the future other than "spending time with her family," she promptly went back on her word and took up a new post as a . . .

. . . wait for it . . .

. . . internet authoritarian!

That's right, apparently "spending time with her family" is [globalese](#) for "becoming a special envoy for the [Christchurch Call](#)," the New Zealand-led global censorship body that aims to "eliminate terrorist and violent extremist content online" by labeling all of their political opponents as terrorists and scrubbing their material from the internet.

So, sadly, no, Ardern is not retiring from public life as promised. But it's good to know that she got to squeeze in one last lie to the New Zealand public on her way out the door!

ARREST THEM ALL!

You know, compiling this list is a lot harder than I expected. Not because there aren't enough politicians to arrest but because there are too many.

I mean, we have Biden and Trump and Bush 43 on the list already, so we might as well throw every other American president on there, too. (Yes, every one of them.)

And I don't want to be accused of any pro-Canadian bias, so I'm more than happy to throw Trudeau in there for his [crimes against the Canadian people](#).

And I haven't even gotten to [President-for-Life Xi Jinping](#) yet.

. . . Hmm. Come to think of it, this list is getting too long already and I'm just getting started! I mean, we haven't even considered what would happen if we took seriously the Kuala Lumpur Foundation to Criminalize War and its [proposal to make warfare itself a crime](#) seriously. How many more politicians would that add to the arrest warrant list?

So why don't we just cut to the chase. Perhaps instead of making a list of all the politicians we should arrest, it would be easier to make a list of all the politicians we shouldn't arrest.


OK, let me think about it.

. . . Uhhh . . .

. . . Give me a minute here . . .

Ahhh, this is too difficult. Let's just go ahead and arrest them all!

Of course, I suppose that would mean that we'd have to face the prospect of a world without politicians. I mean, can you imagine a world without politicians?



You know what? I'm willing to give it a try if you are.

Read The Corbett Report in the app

Listen to posts, join subscriber chats, and never miss an update from The Corbett Report.